

Introductory Control Systems

Linearization of System Models

The **differential** or **algebraic** equation that models the behavior of a system may be **linear** or **nonlinear**. If it is nonlinear, it may be possible to **linearize** the equation about some **nominal operating condition** (or **set point**). The focus here is on linearization of **algebraic models only**.

Linearization of Functions of a Single Variable

If the output of a system (y) is related to the input of the system (x) with a nonlinear algebraic function $y = f(x)$, an approximate linear model of the system behavior can be developed using a Taylor series. First, identify a set point (nominal condition), and then expand $f(x)$ in a **Taylor series** around that point. Referring to the set point as an **equilibrium position** x_{eq} , write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_{eq} + \Delta x) &= f(x_{eq}) + \Delta x \left[\frac{df}{dx} \right]_{x=x_{eq}} + \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{2} \left[\frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} \right]_{x=x_{eq}} + \dots \\ &\approx f(x_{eq}) + \Delta x \left[\frac{df}{dx} \right]_{x=x_{eq}} \end{aligned}$$

Here Δx represents an **excursion** or **deviation** from the equilibrium position (set point). If the **excursions** are **small**, then the approximation as stated in the second equation can be used. In this latter case, write

$$\Delta f(x) = f(x_{eq} + \Delta x) - f(x_{eq}) = m \Delta x$$

Here,

$$m = \left. \frac{df}{dx} \right|_{x=x_{eq}}$$

This is a **linear** relationship between **changes** in f and **changes** in x .

Note: It is up to the analyst to determine the **range** of Δx over which the linear model is **acceptably accurate**. This range could be **quite large** for **mildly nonlinear** functions, but it could be **quite small** for **strongly nonlinear** functions.

Linearization of Functions of Many Variables

Given a nonlinear function $y = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f(\underline{x})$, expand $f(\underline{x})$ in a *Taylor series* around the **equilibrium position**, say $\underline{x}_{eq} = ((x_1)_{eq}, (x_2)_{eq}, \dots, (x_n)_{eq})$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{x}_{eq} + \Delta \underline{x}) &= f(\underline{x}_{eq}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right]_{\underline{x}=\underline{x}_{eq}} + \dots \\ &\approx f(\underline{x}_{eq}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right]_{\underline{x}=\underline{x}_{eq}} \end{aligned}$$

Here the vector $\Delta \underline{x} = (\Delta x_1, \Delta x_2, \dots, \Delta x_n)$ represents an **excursion** from the **equilibrium position**.

As before, if the excursions are **small**, then the approximation as stated in the second equation can be used. In this latter case, write

$$\boxed{\Delta f(\underline{x}) = f(\underline{x}_{eq} + \Delta \underline{x}) - f(\underline{x}_{eq}) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta x_i}$$

Here,

$$\boxed{m_i = \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right|_{\underline{x}=\underline{x}_{eq}}}$$

This is a **linear** relationship between **changes** in f and **changes** in the elements of vector \underline{x} . As before, it is up to the analyst to determine acceptable ranges of the Δx_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$).